

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to 703-872-9327 on September 8 2003.

Ginger M. Graff
Ginger M. Graff

9/8/03
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Charles F. Malone, et al.
Application No.: 10/002,049
Filed: November 2, 2001
Title: Lock Rod Clutch for Oven Latch
Group Art Unit: 3677
Confirmation No.: 4375
Examiner: Dinesh N. Melwani
Atty Docket No.: KPF-54

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 08 2003

OFFICIAL

September 8, 2003

**NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER
TO THE BOARD OF APPEALS (37 C.F.R. § 1.191)**

Applicants hereby appeal to the Board of Appeals from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed September 2, 2003, finally rejecting claims 7-17.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

09/11/2003 WBRDWH 00000001 233000 10002049

Status of Applicant

01 FC:1401 320.00 DA
02 FC:1251 110.00 DA

This application is on behalf of

☒ other than a small entity.
☐ a small entity.

2. Fee for Filing Notice of Appeal

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Notice of Appeal is:

<input type="checkbox"/>	small entity	\$160.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	other than a small entity	\$320.00
Notice of Appeal fee due		<u>\$320.00</u>

3. Extension of Term

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(a) X Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
<u>X</u>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<u> </u>	two months	\$ 410.00	\$205.00
<u> </u>	three months	\$ 930.00	\$460.00
<u> </u>	four months	\$1,450.00	\$725.00
Fee			<u>\$110.00</u>

Applicants extension of time has been calculated from the time indicated in checked Action. As indicated, the reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final Action (4/08/2003). Accordingly, a one-month extension is requested.

However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge fee deficiencies to Deposit Account 23-3000 (See No. 5).

(Check and complete the next item, if applicable)

 An extension for month has already been secured and the fee paid thereof of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

3. Total Fee Due

The total fee due is:

Notice of Appeal fee \$320.00.
Extension fee (if any) \$110.00.

Total Fee due \$430.00

4. Fee Payment

___ Attached is a check is the sum of \$ ___ for the Notice of Appeal Fee.

___ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ ___ for the extension fee.

XX The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of \$430.00 for the appeal fee and two month extension fee to Deposit Account No. 23-3000. A duplicate of this Notice is attached.

5. Fee Deficiency

XX Charge any additional fee required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-3000. A duplicate of this Notice is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

G. Prabhakar Reddy
G. Prabhakar Reddy
Reg. No. 47,890

OFFICIAL

2700 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 241-2324

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 08 2003

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,049	11/02/2001	Charles F. Malone	KPF / 54	4375

26875 7590 09/02/2003

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP
2700 CAREW TOWER
441 VINE STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

MELWANI, DINESH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3677

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SEP 5 11:12:33
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/002,049	Applicant(s) MALONE ET AL.	
	Examiner Dinesh N Melwani	Art Unit 3677	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 7-17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☒ Other: Note the attached Interview Summary (Paper No. 9)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner asserts that the Siegel reference discloses the an oven door locking mechanism within the meaning of the applicant's claims. In regards to claim 7, the Examiner directs the Applicant's attention to lock member (50) defining a first side of the clutch assembly and having a keyed aperture (Z). As it concerns claim 17, the Examiner directs the Applicant's attention to clutch having a lock member (52) on its first side side; wherein said lock member has a recess (W). Selgel's second side of said clutch member includes a thermally responsive element (46); wherein the center of said element includes slots to receive the tabs extending on both sides of recess (W) .

J. J. SWANN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/002,049

Applicant(s)

MALONE ET AL.

Examiner

Dinesh N Melwani

Art Unit

3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dinesh N Melwani, PTO.

(3)_____.

(2) Thomas Humphrey, Atty.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2003.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1-23.Identification of prior art discussed: Siegel (U.S. Patent No. 3,540,767).Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the Siegel reference. Furthermore, the applicant discussed possible after-final amendments to be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.